

Linking the how to the why: Methods decisions in foreign language program evaluation

Yukiko Watanabe & Castle Sinicrope

University of Hawai'i at Mānoa, Department of Second Language Studies,
Foreign Language Program Evaluation Project Team

I. What is evaluation?

⊗ It is typical to think HOW do we do evaluation instead of WHY do we do evaluation.


⊙ Evaluation is “the gathering of information about any of the variety of elements that constitute educational programs, for a variety of purposes that primarily include understanding, demonstrating, improving, and judging program value. Evaluation brings evidence to bear on the problems of programs, but the nature of that evidence is not restricted to one particular methodology” (Norris, 2006, p. 579, emphasis added).

II. Responding to evaluation concerns


Evaluation should be...

No use → useful, pragmatic, responsive
 No buy-in → educational, transformative, participatory, democratic
 Fear of misuse → appropriate, accurate
 No resources, support → manageable, feasible

III. Towards useful, feasible, appropriate, and accurate evaluation practice: What, who, and why of program evaluation




IV. Situating methodology decisions in the evaluation cycle



The Joint Committee on Standards for Educational Evaluation (1994) established guidelines for quality assurance


V. Methods decision principles




For what purpose is the data going to be collected?
How will the information be used?
Whose values and assumptions are reflected? Whose values and assumptions count?
What type of information will best inform the evaluation questions and program decision making?
How accurate and credible is the information to the primary intended users?

Who are the informants? Do we have access to the informants?
What is the most feasible method?
Who collects information? How are data going to be managed?
How should the information be analyzed to maximize use?

VI. Linking the How to the Why: EFL example



VII. Linking the How to the Why: Teacher induction program example (Yang, forthcoming)



For detailed report on above evaluation work, please refer to:

VIII. Now, when you hear “evaluation,” what kind of activity do you think it is?

- *NOT* a traditional research activity
BUT... a study grounded in pragmatism (context-driven).
- *NOT* an externally-driven or externally mandated activity,
BUT... a well-informed, appropriate, feasible, accurate, useful, and systematic investigation of a program for the evaluation users.
- *NOT* method-driven,
BUT... a purpose- and use-driven activity by the users.
- *NOT* a one-shot activity,
BUT... a cyclical building block activity that informs future programming.

IX. References

- Mackay, R., Wellesley, S., Tasman, D., & Bazergan, E. (1998). Using institutional self-evaluation to promote the quality of language and communication training programmes. In P. Rea-Dickens & K. P. Germaine (Eds.), *Managing evaluation and innovation in language teaching: Building bridges* (pp. 111-131). London: Longman.
- Norris, J. M. (2006). The why (and how) of student learning outcomes assessment in college FL education. *Modern Language Journal*, 90(4), 590-597.
- Patton, M. Q. (1997). *Utilization-focused evaluation: The new century text*. Thousand Oaks: Sage.
- The Joint Committee on Standards for Educational Evaluation. (1994). *The Program Evaluation Standards*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Yang, W. (forthcoming). Evaluation of teacher induction practices in a U.S. university English language program: Towards useful evaluation. *Language Teaching Research*, 12(4).